

Dear Editor,

I find the recent column by Dr. Yael Respler (Conversational Lessons, 6-20) and the letter by Harold Marks (Inhax, 7-25) deeply disturbing. The suggestions lower the marital relationship to one of competition and gamesmanship, presuming that people see their spouses as constantly under review for potential exchange, foster a lack of communication among spouses and friends, and encourage husbands and wives to manage their relationships out of fear that any positive opinion of a member of the opposite sex will drive spouses away.

M.G. states that competition "has no place in marriage" and yet suggests that wives limit praise of other women due to the competitive threat that those women might represent in the shallowest of relationships. M.G. counsels that a wife be careful when speaking of a single friend or of another friend's weight loss. Although sometimes maintaining shalow boyit may require holding back some thoughts (e.g. God's withholding details from Avraham about what Sarah said, per Rashi Gen. 18:13), this is the exception, not the rule. Full description of the single friend might help find the right shidduck and might also show a wife's sense of security with her husband. A woman's comment about someone else's appearance might be a helpful signal to her husband to provide emotional support for his wife, who may be struggling with her self-image. A spouse should not have to walk on pins and needles in conversation with his or her spouse, who should be a trusted confidant. Undermining robust communication can do far more damage than should result from the favorable mention of a friend.

M.G. suggests that a woman not share her exhaustion with her sister because the sister might share what her own husband has done to help. The supposed "lesson" is not to start this dialogue, lest you imagine that your sister's husband cares more. Once again, the suggestion to close off dialogue is alarming. Can a woman not seek her sister's support and advice in regard to life's challenges? Besides, learning ways that one's spouse might provide additional support hardly seems to be a negative result for either husband or wife.

The column also promotes a path to painfully insecure relationships. Dr. Yael suggests that a wife avoid interactions that include her husband and anyone who might be attractive to him. The fact is that there will always be someone whose surface. beauty outpaces one's spouse's (my wife is a notable exception). If simple interactions with members of the opposite sex lead a spouse to think he or she would be better off with another person, the solution is not to avoid such interactions but to build a more meaningful understanding of marriage, which should not be compared to relatively superficial interactions with people over Shabbat lunch or even more extended social interactions. Additionally, avoiding the panoply of potential social interactions, including gatherings with mixed groups, could lead to the kind of isolation and boredom that can threaten a relationship.

Marks's response notes that there is always competition and therefore husbands and wives should try to remain attractive to each other. Indeed, it is important to remain attractive to one's spouse, and "what's out there" might affect what our spouses find attractive. But our interest in attracting our spouses should be a matter of keeping a relationship strong, not about insecurely looking over our shoulders. Marks states that "a husband and wife have to be fools not to understand that competition is always close by." No, they just have to have reasonable expectations for a committed relationship, and to be secure enough not to believe that their partners are always shopping around. It is that kind of relationship that will allow for healthy interaction between spouses and among spouses and their peers.

Rabbi Noah Gradofsky



I find the recent column by Dr. Yael Respler (Conversational Lessons, 6-20) and the letter by Harold Marks (Juliar, 7-25) deeply disturbing. The suggestions lower the marital relationship to one of competition and gamesmanship, presuming that people see their spouses as constantly under review for potential exchange, foster a lack of communication among spouses and friends, and encourage husbands and vives to manage their relationships out of fear that any positive opinion of a member of the opposite sex will drive spouses away.

M.G. states that competition "has no place in marriage" and yet suggests that wives limit praise of other women due to the competitive threat that those women might represent in the shallowest of relationships. M.G. counsels that a wife be careful when speaking of a single friend or of another friend's weight loss. Although sometimes maintaining shalow bayit may require holding back some thoughts (e.g. God's withholding details from Avraham about what Sarah said, per Rashi Gen. 18:13), this is the exception, not the rule. Full description of the single friend might help find the right shidduch and might also show a wife's sense of security with her husband. A woman's comment about neone else's appearance might be a helpful signal to her husband to provide emotional support for his wife, who may be struggling with her self-image. A spouse should not have to walk on pins and nee-dles in conversation with his or her spouse, who should be a trusted confidant. Undermining robust communication can do far more damage than should result from the favorable mention of a friend.

M.G. suggests that a woman not share her exhaustion with her sister because the sister might share what her own husband has done to help. The supposed "lesson" is not to start this dialogue, lest you imagine

that your sister's husband cares more. Once gain, the suggestion to close off dialogue sister's support and advice in regard to life's challenges? Besides, learning ways that one's spouse might provide additional support hardly seems to be a negative result for either husband or wife.

The column also promotes a path to painfully insecure relationships. Dr. Yael suggests that a wife avoid interactions that include her husband and anyone who might be attractive to him. The fact is that there will always be someone whose surface beauty outpaces one's spouse's (my wife is a notable exception). If simple interactions with members of the opposite sex lead a spouse to think he or she would be better off with another person, the solution is not to avoid such interactions but to build a more meaningful understanding of marriage, which should not be compared to relatively superficial interactions with people over Shabbat lunch or even more extended social interactions. Additionally, avoiding the panoply of potential social interactions including gatherings with mixed groups, could lead to the kind of isolation and

boredom that can threaten a relationship. Marks's response notes that there is and wives should try to remain attractive to each other. Indeed, it is important to 'what's out there" might affect what our spouses find attractive. But our interest in attracting our spouses should be a matter of keeping a relationship strong, not about insecurely looking over our shoulders. Marks states that "a husband and wife have to be fools not to understand that compe tition is always close by." No, they just have to have reasonable expectat a committed relationship, and to be secure enough not to believe that their partners are always shopping around. It is that kind of relationship that will allow for healthy interaction between spouses and among spouses and their peers.

Rabbi Noah Gradolisky

PUBLISHED BY THE JEWISH PRESS INC

4915 16th Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11204 718-330-1100 • magazine@jewishpress.com

All material in this section has been copyrighted and is the exclusive property of The Jewish Press and cannot be reproduced without consent of the publisher. Articles published in this section are for general information purposes only. In matters of health halacha or kashrus a person's ray or doctor should be consulted.

> Chumi Friedman Magazine Editor

Jodie Macz Copy Editor

Mati Jacobovits Design & Production











I N D E X

TORAH & HASHKAFA

Page 3: PTI Torah Page 4: Parsha Perspective

ADVICE & OPINION

Page 5: Dear Dr. Yael

Page 6: Over Coffee

Page 7: The Person Behind The Chair.

And Beyond

FEATURES

Page 8: Challenging Parenting

Page 9: By The Light Of The Moon

Page 10: Featured Essays

Page 12: For These We Cry Page 13: Victims Of Terror

Page 17: Places To Go: Shawangunk Ridge

Page 18: Letting Go

Page 20: After The Darkness

DAILY LIVING

Page 14: Shopping Vintage

Page 16: The Crumb Factory

TEENS & TWENTIES

Page 22: Teens and Twenties Talk

KID'S PAGES

Page 23: Midrash And Talmud

TORAH & HASHKAFA

Who does not want to get close to Hashem? Yet, how do we do that?

The Way To Cling

In Parshas Re'eh, we have the mitzvah of "Uvo Tidbakun - and to Him you shall cleave" (13:5)

How does one cling to Hashem? Rashi quotes the Gemara (Sotal 14a) that asks: Is it possible to follow G-d who is good for me," says Dovid Haldelech. infinite? The Gemara explains that cleaving means you shall emulate Hashem's qualities - just as Hashem clothed Adam and Chava, so too we should ensure people have clothes to wear. Just as Hashem visited Avraham Avina when he was sick after his bris milah, so too we should visit the sick. The way to cleave to Hashem is by incorporating the characteristics of the Almighty in our daily life, to act with compassion and to care for others.

Why does Rashi use this Gemara to explain this mitzvah of clinging to Hashem when clearly the Gemara is talking about the mitzvah of following Hashem? Ray Aharon Kotler zt"/ (late Rosh that Rashi is giving us an entirely new

perspective on caring for others. "Kirvas Elokim li Tov - Closeness to G-d is what's

Think about it. Who does not want to get close to Hashem? Yet, how do we do that? Initially, we might think of tefillah or Torah study, which is true. When it comes to chesed, we view it as a very im portant mitzvah, but not a mitzvah that unites us with Hashem Himself, as Torah and tofillah help us do. Yet, from this Rashi, we see that simple mundane acts of caring for others constitutes clinging to Hashem... literally. Even small talk that a new dress for You Tov?" asked the Rav makes a person feel important and cared for, picking up an item at a supermarket for your neighbor, visiting the sick or listening to what's bothering someone - all of these are clinging to Hashem Yeshiva and founder of Beis Medrash Himself, just as much as Torah and tofil- me what your



BY RABBI BORUCH BODENHEIM

to Hashem, because by performing the mitzvah of following in Hashem's ways, we are then clinging to Him.

As we are now entering the month of Elul, I am reminded of a story.

It was the eve of Yom Kippur. The whole town was in shul. The Ray concluded his impassioned plea for everyone Rav. At this point, the girl looked healthy to do teshinor, and the Chazzan was ready and well. to start Kol Nidrei.

Unexpectedly, the Rav turned to his mash and says, "Come with me." They left shul and walked until they reached a home at the far end of town. The Rav clused. That's cleaving to Hashem. knocked on the door.

A weak and ill-looking 12 year-old girl answered the door.

"How do you feel?" asked the Ray. "I feel weak," said the girl.

"Refuah Shleimah. I want to wish you a Gmar Chasima Tona. Tell me... did you get "I did, but my weakness

is so on my mind I can't focus on the dress." replied the girl.

"Can you tell



"It's beautiful... the perfect You Too dress! I want to wish you a sweet year," and with that the Ray left back to shul.

ran to get the dress and showed it to the

Small talk. Showing interest. A little Rabbi Baruch Bodenheim is the Associate

Rosh Yeshiva of Yeshiva Passaic Torah



